



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/343,958	06/30/1999	SERGE JEAN MAURICE MISTER	0500.9904131	8512
23418	7590 04/2	2005	EXAM	IINER
	PRICE KAUFMA	ZAND, F	ZAND, KAMBIZ	
222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2132	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/343,958	MISTER, SERGE JEAN MAURICE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kambiz Zand	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	March 2005.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	Disposition of Claims					
_	Claim(s) <u>6,8, 21, 23, 35 and 37</u> is/are objected to.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach=	*(a)		<u>;</u>			
2) Notic 3) Infori	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/25/2005 has been entered.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- 3. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 4. Claims 1-37 are pending.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 03/25/2005 with respect to claims 1, 16, and 30 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per claims 1, 16 and 30, examiner refers Applicant to the following remarks:

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

- Applicant's remarks "the insertion data prevents any interception of incoming data" are not in the Applicant's claims language. Applicant's claim language phrase "to prevent interception of the incoming data, for insertion as part of the incoming data" only describes the intended use and it is not a positive statement of actually such prevention is part of the claim language. Examiner suggests the following phrase in order to expedite the process:
- "preventing interception of the incoming data, for insertion as part of the incoming data"

see (MPEP 2114 and Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 16-20, 22, 30-34 and 36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fadem et al (4,744,077) in view of Young et al (4,805,222) cited in the pto-892 (paper number 4).

As per claims 1, 16 and 30 Fadem et al (4,744,077) teach a method, apparatus and an storage medium for facilitating prevention of interception of incoming data that is provided for a software application, comprising the steps of: providing insertion data, for insertion as part of the incoming data (see col.12, lines 33-52 wherein the 8 bit data contains keystroke data and LFC characters and an id bit, Examiner considers any of the three data bits as an insertion data); storing the generated insertion data; and filtering received incoming data containing actual data and the insertion data (see

Art Unit: 2132

col.12, line 45-52 wherein the incoming data are stored in RCV FIFO) by comparing stored generated insertion data with incoming data to determine which data is actual data (see col.12, lines 54-66 wherein by examining the third bit of high order nibble of second and compare it to the lower nibble data that identified the user, it recognizes the data as keystroke or LFC character, therefore if the actual data is keystroke or LFC character and the insertion data is the id of the user then by comparison the actual data is retrieved, the same analogy could be used in reverse. Examiner's interpretation is based on the broad claim language that is recited in the above claims). Also see col.13-15. However Fadem et al do not disclose providing insertion data in order to prevent interception of the incoming data. Young et al do disclose providing insertion data, to prevent interception of the incoming data (see abstract; col.2; col.3, lines 1-14 where the comparison between the keystrokes as inserted data are done to determine the validity and therefore preventing the insertion data by denying access if the comparison is not valid. Also see the entire disclosure for more detailed). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Young's keystroke comparison method (as data insertion comparison) in Fadem's data processing network transmission consisting of actual and keystroke inserted data in order to detect false insertion data or keystroke pattern by comparison means.

As per claims 2, 17 and 31 Fadem et al (4,744,077) teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including the step of processing the actual data resultant from filtering for use by the software application (see col.18, lines 13-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 3, 18 and 32 Fadem et al (4,744,077) teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including the step of receiving the generated insertion data and actual data from a data input source; and queuing the insertion data with actual data for output as the incoming data (see col.11, lines 67-68 and col.12, lines 1-3).

As per claims 4, 19 and 33 Fadem et al (4,744,077) teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including the step of analyzing foreground indication data and enabling generation of the insertion data in response to the foreground indication data (see col.18, lines 66-68 and col.19, lines 1-14 wherein in response to content of HRQ data the insertion of characters into the data is selected).

As per claims 5, 20 and 34 Fadem et al (4,744,077) teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including the step of controlling timing of insertion data generation and output based on data queue parameters (see col.13, lines 19-46).

As per claims 7, 22 and 36 Fadem et al (4,744,077) teach the method, apparatus and storage medium of claims 1, 16 and 30 including the step of storing a list of data representing data to be used as randomization data; randomly selecting the randomized Art Unit: 2132

data from the list of data; and formatting the randomized data as insertion data in a same format as actual data (see col.18, lines 66-68 and col.19, lines 1-14).

As per claim 9 Fadem et al (4,744,077) the method of claim 1 wherein the step of providing includes: providing the insertion data, under control of the software application that is to receive the incoming data (see col.17, lines 19-61).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 10-15 and 24-29 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 6, 8, 21, 23, 35 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/343,958

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

04/18/2005